# RECEIVED

AUG - 8 1997

# RICHARD J. HAYES, JR.

ATTORNEY AT LAW DOCKET FILE COPY ORIGINADEFICE OF THE SECRETARY

SPOTSYLVANIA, VIRGINIA 22553
PRACTICE LIMITED TO MATTERS BEFORE THE
FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION

ADMITTED IN GEORGIA INTERNET: rjhayes@aol.com TELEPHONE (540) 972-2690 FACSIMILE (540) 972-1309

August 6, 1997

Mr. William Caton, Secretary The Federal Communications Commission 1919 M Street NW Washington DC 20554

RE: MM Docket Number 93-301

Dear Mr. Caton:

Transmitted herewith, on behalf of Elizabeth Farmer, Personal Representative of the Estate of Charles Farmer, through counsel, is an original and nine (9) copies of a "Response" to the "Opposition to Petition for Leave to Amend" submitted by Richard M. Schafbuch in the Ilwaco, Washington FM proceeding.

Should any questions arise with regard to this matter, please communicate with the undersigned, directly,

Respectfully submitted,

Richard J. Hayes, Jr.

Counsel to

Elizabeth Farmer, Personal Representative of

The Estate of Charles Farmer

No. of Copies rec'd 049 List ABCDE

RECEIVED

### BEFORE THE FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION WASHINGTON DC 20554

DOCKET FILE COPY ORIGINAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION
FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION

IN RE APPLICATIONS OF:

FCC FILE NO. BPH-920518MA CHARLES A. FARMER

RICHARD M. SCHAFBUCH FCC FILE NO. BPH-920521MI

FOR A NEW FM STATION CONSTRUCTION PERMIT **MM DOCKET NO. 93-301** 

CHANNEL 280C3, ILWACO, WASHINGTON

TO: HONORABLE JUDGE JOSEPH CHACHKIN ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE

### RESPONSE TO SCHAFBUCH OPPOSITION TO PETITION FOR LEAVE TO AMEND THE APPLICATION OF CHARLES A. FARMER

COMES NOW, Elizabeth Farmer, Personal Representative of Charles A. Farmer, through counsel, with this "Response to Schafbuch Opposition to Petition for Leave to Amend" the application of Charles A. Farmer in the above-captioned proceeding.

Schafbuch argues that the Petition for Leave to Amend and the Amendment submitted by Betty Farmer to the New FM Construction Permit application of her late husband be denied and rejected, respectively, because Betty Farmer failed to report her husband's death as promptly as possible, "...unless good cause is shown", in accordance with Section 1.65 of the Commission's Rules. This is as preposterous as it is insensitive.

It should first be noted that the death of Mr. Farmer was sudden and unexpected. His widow, Betty Farmer, is still struggling with the loss of her husband and, in the meantime, has been working long and hard to make certain that the four stations owned and managed by her late husband are operated in accordance with the Commission's rules. This has been Betty Farmer's first and most important business priority. Betty Farmer, certainly past retirement age, has never managed a radio station before but is quickly learning and has done an excellent job, despite the personal and emotional difficulties associated with her husband's passing. She has been directly involved in the preparation of all of the involuntary transfer of control applications for all four stations. She has also been directly involved with the management of KCST AM and FM, Florence, Oregon. Last week, Betty

Farmer completed negotiations for an LMA for the stations in Long Beach and Astoria and has entered-into an agreement to sell those stations. During this same period of time, she has been supervising the operations of both KVAS and KKEE. Additionally, she has been overwhelmed with estate, trust and probate matters. Lately, Mrs. Farmer has taken a direct role in the preliminary stage of license renewal for all four stations. While her own physical and emotional health have been her first concern since the passing of her husband, Betty Farmer's first priority from a business standpoint was to sort out all of her husband's affairs and make certain that the existing radio stations were operated in accordance with the Commission's Rules. To be perfectly honest, she did not realize that she had thirty days from the date of Chuck's death to file an amendment to her late husband's application in Ilwaco. Under the circumstances, good cause certainly exists to justify a late-filed amendment in a case which has been frozen for several years and is likely to remain frozen for several more years.

It should also be pointed-out that counsel was not even aware of the fact that Charles Farmer had passed-away until late March of 1997. By that time, counsel was overwhelmed with urgent matters regarding the existing Farmer radio stations. It can honestly be said that the Petition for Leave to Amend and the Amendment filed on July 21, 1997 by Betty Farmer was submitted as promptly as possible, under the circumstances. Without a doubt, the fact that the case was "frozen" played a large role in when the amendment was prepared and submitted. Had the case been active, counsel would have learned of Mr. Farmer's death sooner and the amendment submitted, sooner. In this case, however, no party was prejudiced by the filing on the date it was made. The Commission was not placed at any additional expense and no portion of the amendment was made for the purpose of improving any comparative element of the case. (If it can even be said that there is, under the circumstances of the "freeze", any comparative element actually to improve.)

It was assumed that Schafbuch would have easily understood that Betty Farmer would have been overwhelmed by the burden she assumed with regard to the family radio stations after Charles Farmer's death. It was also assumed that that Mr. Schafbuch would have realized that good cause existed, even if not specifically spelled-out, for the lateness with which this Petition for Leave to Amend and Amendment were filed. It was further assumed that Mr. Schafbuch would have also understood that his interests and the interests of the Commission in maintaining order in comparative cases would not be prejudiced by a late filed informational amendment, under the circumstances.

Response to Opposition to Petition for Leave to Amend The Application of Charles A. Farmer

Page Three

Under these circumstances, it is respectfully requested that the Presiding Judge take notice of the fact that

there has been no intent on the part of Betty Farmer to disregard Section 1.65 of the Commission's Rules. The

family stations have operated with unblemished records for many, many years and Mrs. Farmer has been

overwhelmed by legal matters from the date of her husband's death. Mr. Schafbuch has not been injured, in any

way, and his case is not harmed but the lateness Betty Farmer's informational amendment.

It is respectfully requested that the Petition for Leave to Amend the Application of Charles Farmer be

supplemented by the explanation of "good cause" offered in this "Opposition". It also respectfully requested that

the Amendment offered by Mrs. Farmer be accepted.

Respectfully submitted,

Richard J. Hayes, Jr.

Counsel to

Elizabeth Farmer, Personal Representative of

Charles Farmer

August 5, 1997

Richard J. Hayes, Jr. Attorney at Law

13809 Black Meadow Road

Spotsylvania, Virginia 22553

(540) 972-2690

#### **CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

I, Katherine Beer, a secretary in the Law Offices of Richard J. Hayes, Jr., hereby certify that I have, on this 671 of August, 1997, caused to be delivered or mailed via first-class United States mail, potage prepaid, copies of the foregoing to the persons named, below:

The Honorable Joseph Chachkin Administrative Law Judge Federal Communications Commission 2000 L Street NW - Room 221 Washington DC 20554

Paulette Laden, Esq. Mass Media Bureau Federal Communications Commission 2025 M Street NW - Room 7212 Washington DC 20554

Chief, Data Management Staff Audio Services Division Mass Media Bureau Federal Communications Commission 1919 M Street NW - Room 315 Washington DC 20554

Peter Gutmann, Esq.
Pepper & Corazzini
200 Montgomery Building
1776 K Street NW - Suite 200
Washington DC 20006

Lattlerini Mer Katherine Beer